1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

17

16

18

19

20

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

CLARENCE D. JOHNSON,

v.

JOE BIDEN,

NO: 2:21-CV-0091-TOR

Plaintiff,

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

QUEEN ELIZABETH, et al.,

Defendants.

On February 16, 2021, Plaintiff filed a Complaint, an Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, and a Motion for Indigency. ECF Nos. 1, 2, 3. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and resides in Chicago.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), notwithstanding any filing fee, or any portion thereof, that may have been paid, the court shall dismiss the case at any time if the court determines that the action or appeal (i) is frivolous or malicious or (ii) fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. A complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted if it lacks a cognizable legal theory or lacks sufficient facts to support a cognizable legal theory. *Balistreri v. Pacifica*

Police Dept., 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1990). Plaintiff's Complaint does not state a cognizable legal theory, i.e., short plain statements showing the court's jurisdiction and the grounds for relief. *See* Fed. Rule Civ. Pro. 8(a). The Court finds any attempt at amendment would be futile.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- This action is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
 § 1915(e)(2)(B) as it is frivolous and malicious.
- 2. Plaintiff's Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and Motion for Indigency, ECF Nos. 2, 3, are **DENIED** as moot.
- 3. Plaintiff is advised that the filing of further frivolous actions will result in the imposition of more serious sanctions than dismissal.

The District Court Executive is hereby directed to enter this Order, enter Judgment, furnish copies to Plaintiff, and **CLOSE** the file.

DATED February 23, 2021.



THOMAS O. RICE United States District Judge